1 2 3 The Honorable David G. Estudillo 5 6 7 8 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA RAYMOND PARKER, AS PERSONAL Case No. 3:24-cv-05679-DGE 10 REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF **AARON CHRISTENSEN and ITS** DEFENDANT MICHAEL 11 BENEFICIARIES, ASBACH'S ANSWER AND 12 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED 13 COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH, NEGLIGENCE, BATTERY, VS. 14 CONVERSION, AND STRICT 15 MICHAEL R. ASBACH, an individual; and LIABILITY FOR ENGAGING IN AN ETHAN ASBACH, an individual, ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS 16 **ACTIVITY** Defendants. 17 18 Defendant Michael R. Asbach (hereinafter named as "Defendant") hereby answers the 19 allegations in the Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Wrongful Death, Negligence, 20 Battery, Conversion, and Strict Liability for Engaging in an Abnormally Dangerous Activity 21 ("Amended Complaint") by admitting, denying, and otherwise alleging as follows. These 22 responses are made solely on behalf of Defendant and no other party, whether named or 23 unnamed. Any allegations not specifically admitted are hereby denied. 24 25

DEFENDANT MICHAEL R. ASBACH'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1 (Case No. 3:24-cv-05679-DGE)

HELSELL FETTERMAN

Helsell Fetterman LLP 800 Fifth, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98104 206.292.1144 WWW.HELSELL.COM

## I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 1.1 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.
- 1.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 1.2 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same. Defendant specifically denies that he unlawfully gave and/or unlawfully entrusted a firearm to Defendant Ethan Asbach.
- 1.3 As to the allegations in paragraph 1.3 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.

### II. THE PARTIES

- 2.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 2.1 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.
- 2.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 2.2 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.
- 2.3 As to the allegations in paragraph 2.3 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 3.1 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 3.1 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. Defendant also lacks

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

5

3

9

10

8

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25

sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the factual allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.

- 3.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 3.2 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits the Asbachs are citizens of the state of Washington but denies the remainder.
- 3.3 As to the allegations in paragraph 3.3 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits that he is subject to the Court's personal jurisdiction over him and that he resides in Thurston County but lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remainder of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.
- 3.4 As to the allegations in paragraph 3.4 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 3.4 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required.

#### IV. **NATURE OF ACTION**

4.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 4.1 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant denies he is liable under any theory or cause of action for any damages claimed by Plaintiff.

#### V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

### Aron and Buzzo Travel with Friends to Walupt Lake Campground

- 5.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.1 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.
- 5.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.2 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.
- 5.3 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.3 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the

HELSELL

DEFENDANT MICHAEL R. ASBACH'S ANSWER TO

- 5.4 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.4 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.
- 5.5 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.5 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and/or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in this paragraph and, therefore, denies the same.

## Michael Travels to Walupt Lake Campground and Then to Sheep Lake

- 5.6 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.6 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits.
- 5.7 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.7 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits and states that the purpose of the trip was bear hunting.
- 5.8 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.8 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits and states that his son Ethan planned to join him that weekend.
- 5.9 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.9 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits that Ethan and KAB planned to come up later, but lacks information to either admit or deny the exact timeline, and therefore denies the remaining allegations.
- 5.10 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.10 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits that he gave Defendant Ethan Asbach directions to Sheep Lake and admits that he asked Defendant Ethan Asbach to take Defendant's handgun from Defendant's truck and that it could be used for protection against wild animals. Defendant denies all remaining allegations.
- 5.11 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.11 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits that Ethan Asbach was 19 years old on August 19, 2022, but denies the remainder.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



24

25

5.12 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.12 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits that he and Ethan Asbach are experienced gun owners and Defendant denies that he disregarded the law or basic rules of firearm safety.

### Ethan and KAB Arrive at Walupt Lake Campground and Flee the Next Day

- 5.13 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.13 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.13 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.14 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.14 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.14 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.15 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.15 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.15 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.16 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.16 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.16 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.17 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.17 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.17 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.18 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.18 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.18 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.19 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.19 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.19 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.20 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.20 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.20 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.21 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.21 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 5.21 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 5.22 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.22 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant admits he returned to Tenino and contacted LCSO on Sunday, August 21, 2021, but the

remainder of paragraph 5.22 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no
response is required.
LCSO Is Dispatched to the Walupt Lake Area After Receiving News of Aron's and
<b>Buzzo's Deaths and Aron's Gunshot Wound</b>
5.23 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.23 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
5.23 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
5.24 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.24 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
5.24 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a
response is required, Defendant denies.
5.25 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.25 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
5.25 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
5.26 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.26 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
5.26 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a
response is required, Defendant denies.
5.27 As to the allegations in paragraph 5.27 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
5.27 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a
response is required, Defendant denies.
VI. <u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u>
(Aron's Surviving Claim for Negligent Entrustment Against Defendant Michael
Asbach)
Defendant incorporates all prior responses as if fully set forth herein.
6.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 6.1 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant
denies that he "prides himself", but does admit that he is an experienced and knowledgeable

HELSELL FETTERMAN

gunowner and admits that he must always act with due care with his firearms.

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

As to the allegations in paragraph 6.7 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant

# (Aron's Surviving Claim for Negligence Per Se Against Defendant Michael Asbach)

- 7.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 7.1 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 7.1 contains no allegations to which a response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant incorporates all prior responses as if fully set forth herein.
- 7.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 7.2 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant denies.
- 7.3 As to the allegations in paragraph 7.3 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant denies.

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6.7

denies.

1	7.4 As to the allegations in paragraph 7.4 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant
2	denies.
3	7.5 As to the allegations in paragraph 7.5 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant
4	denies.
5	7.6 As to the allegations in paragraph 7.6 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant
6	denies.
7	VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
8	(Wrongful Death Against Defendant Michael Asbach)
9	8.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 8.1 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
10	8.1 contains no allegations to which a response is required. To the extent a response is
11	required, Defendant incorporates all prior responses as if fully set forth herein.
12	8.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 8.2 of the Amended Complaint, Defendant
13	denies.
14	IX. <u>FOURTH CLIAM FOR RELIEF</u>
15	(Aron's Surviving Claim for Negligence Against Defendant Ethan Asbach)
16	9.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 9.1 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
17	9.1 contains no allegations to which a response is required. To the extent a response is
18	required, Defendant incorporates all prior responses as if fully set forth herein.
19	9.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 9.2 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
20	9.2 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a response
21	is required, Defendant denies.
22	9.3 As to the allegations in paragraph 9.3 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph
23	9.3 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a response
24	is required, Defendant denies.
25	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 8

(Case No. 3:24-cv-05679-DGE)

**FETTERMAN** 

HELSELL

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

As to the allegations in paragraph 11.1 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph

(Aron's Surviving Claim for Battery Against Defendant Ethan Asbach)

Defendant incorporates all prior responses as if fully set forth herein.

As to the allegations in paragraph 9.4 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph

9.4 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a response

HELSELL FETTERMAN

Helsell Fetterman LLP 800 Fifth, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98104 206.292.1144 **WWW.HELSELL.COM** 

XI.

response is required, Defendant denies.

11.1

9.4

1

2

20

21

22

23

24

As to the allegations in paragraph 13.1 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph

13.1 contains no allegations to which a response is required. To the extent a response is

HELSELL FETTERMAN

Helsell Fetterman LLP 800 Fifth, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98104 206.292.1144 **WWW.HELSELL.COM** 

13.1

23

24

13.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 13.2 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 13.2 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies.

### XIV. NINTH CLAIM

### (Aron's Survival Claim for Conversion Against Defendant Ehtan Asbach)

- 14.1 As to the allegations in paragraph 14.1 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 14.1 contains no allegations to which a response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant incorporates all prior responses as if fully set forth herein.
- 14.2 As to the allegations in paragraph 14.2 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 14.2 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 14.3 As to the allegations in paragraph 14.3 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 14.3 contains no allegations directed at Defendant and, therefore, no response is required.
- 14.4 As to the allegations in paragraph 14.4 of the Amended Complaint, paragraph 14.4 calls for one or more legal conclusions, thus no answer is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies.

#### XV. PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff' prayer for relief (paragraphs A-F) contain no allegations to which an answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought.

#### XVI. <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>

1. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim or claims upon which relief can be granted. Foreseeability is not alleged. Negligence per se is not a separate cause of action. Statutory

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



2 3

1

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 20

21

19

22

23

24

25

violations (though denied by Defendant) do not constitute negligence per se under RCW 5.40.050.

- Contributory fault. Plaintiff's damages, if any be proven, were caused in whole 2. or in part by decedent's fault or negligence.
- 3. RCW 5.40.060 and RCW 4.24.420 may apply given the allegation in the original complaint that "laced marijuana or psychedelic mushrooms" was found on decedent's person.
- 4. Defendant Ethan Asbach's conduct was privileged, justifiable, and in selfdefense, and thus not actionable against Defendant Michael Asbach under any theory.
- 5. Non-party at fault. Plaintiff's injuries or damages, if any, including beneficiaries' emotional distress, resulted from the actions or inactions of others outside the control or right of Defendant, including LCSO's Deputy Andrew Scrivner and other Lewis County employees and individuals, and government entities, named in Plaintiff's original Complaint, thus entitling Defendant to a comparison of fault for these individuals and/or entities.
- 6. Plaintiff's damages, if any be proven, resulted (in whole or in part) from preexisting conditions of the decedent that are unrelated to Defendant.
- 7. Plaintiff's damages, if any be proven, resulted from subsequent, intervening, and/or superseding causes that are unrelated to Defendant.
- 8. Plaintiff and/or the beneficiaries of the estate may have failed to mitigate their damages.
- 9. Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer, and to assert additional affirmative damages, third-party claims, counterclaims, and cross-claims as deemed

1	reasonable and necessary as discovery in this matter is conducted, whether in this lawsuit or
2	not, as the facts may develop.
3	XVII. <u>DEFENDANTS' PRAYER FOR RELIEF</u>
4	WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for
5	Wrongful Death, Negligence, Battery, Conversion, and Strict Liability for Engaging in an
6	Abnormally Dangerous Activity, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:
7	A. Dismissal of all claims asserted against Defendant with prejudice and without
8	costs;
9	B. An award of all costs and attorneys' fees allowed by statute or other applicable
10	law; and
11	C. For such other relief as the court may deem just or equitable.
12	DATED this 20th day of September, 2024.
13	
14	HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP
15	By: /s/ Shawn Q. Butler
16	Shawn Q. Butler, WSBA No. 45731 <u>sbutler@helsell.com</u>
17	Sebastian G. Toth, WSBA No. 51348 stoth@helsell.com
18	Attorneys for Defendants
19	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98104
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

DEFENDANT MICHAEL R. ASBACH'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 13 (Case No. 3:24-cv-05679-DGE)

25



Helsell Fetterman LLP 800 Fifth, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98104 206.292.1144 WWW.HELSELL.COM

# **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

2	The undersigned hereby declares under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of
3	Washington and the United States that on the 20th day of September, 2024, he/she caused to
4	be filed the foregoing pleading to which this declaration is attached via the Court's CM/ECF
5	system which will send electronic notification of the filing to the following counsel of record:
6	Attorney for Plaintiff: Co-Attorney for Plaintiff:  Lorenzo R. Leoni, WSBA No. 52659  Co-Attorney for Plaintiff: Pilar C. French, WSBA No. 33300
7	MORGAN HILL, P.C.  2102 Carriage Dr. SW, Building C  LANE POWELL, P.C. 601 SW Second Ave., Suite 2100
8	Olympia, WA 98502 Portland, OR 97204
9	(360) 357-5700 (503) 778-2100 lorenzo@olympialegal.com frenchP@lanepowell.com
10	Attorney for Defendant Ethan Asbach:
11	Joseph R. Kopta, WSBA No. 17682
12	James E. Macpherson, WSBA No. 8952 KOPTA & MACPHERSON
13	5801 Soundview Drive, Suite 258 Gig Harbor, WA 98335
14	joe@koptamacpherson.com
15	jim@koptamacpherson.com
16	And I hereby certify that I mailed by United States Postal Service the document to the
17	following non CM/ECF participants:
18	[Not applicable]
19	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and of the State
20	of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2024, at Seattle, Washington.

/s/ Leili Moore Leili Moore, Legal Secretary lmoore@helsell.com

25

24

21

22

23

DEFENDANT MICHAEL R. ASBACH'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 14 (Case No. 3:24-cv-05679-DGE)



Helsell Fetterman LLP 800 Fifth, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98104